

From: [Cheap Advice](#)
To: [Taylor Brooks](#); [Jan Noriyuki](#)
Subject: Motion For Reconsideration of "closed" Case #QWE-T-21-14 on 4/12/2022
Date: Tuesday, April 12, 2022 4:23:46 PM

Motion For Reconsideration of 'closed' Case #QWE-T-21-14 on 4/12/2022

Attention Please ALSO of each of the Commissioners of the Idaho Public Utilities Commission (PUC).

Reference most recent email (4/7/22) from Deputy Brooks related to his first paragraph account "...to file any reply comments." Information presented by me was often NOT in 'reply to comments' but rather intended overall to be additional information having to do with contacts between myself (and others) and the Respondents who shed light on the deceptions they were working. My interest (and what should (?) have been of interest to the Commission and its handlers) was to inform PUC decision makers of information that illustrated and showed proof that Respondent actors were not being honest, candid or accurate in the too many claims it freely made.

The Respondent, as was pointed out repeatedly, in communication between Clink and me (forwarded TO the PUU) deliberately stated things over and again that were not true. The net result should be interpreted by representatives of the Idaho Attorney General's Office as obstruction of justice and other related crimes...targeting to PUC, approximately five (5) AG Deputies and others.

While some of what CLink has done, failed to do, said and implied may not be entirely in line with Deputy Brooks understanding of those Codes that are of professional interest to him...the larger wrongdoing by CenturyLink is significant, material and deserves a more appropriate attending.

Important information useful to each decision-making Commissioner was kept from them (?) or altered (?) that should have been presented to OTHER home office AG Deputies who deal in many matters of law in addition to what interests the PUC contingent. **The PUC should have asked AG Wasden's office to get involved** in those extracurricular crimes that waste the time of the AG Deputies assigned to the PUC and the PUC staff as well...with focus on intentional obstruction. Misleading (repeatedly in writing) claims and deceptions put to the PUC should have been taken more seriously. There IS still time and reversing the case closure (so to modify its findings and status more appropriately) would be corageous and a more fitting stance for the PUC and Idaho to assume.

Please copy me (all that you can) with whatever moves the AG may bring against Respondents and until the AG has had time to do a deserved case reevaluation that should have begun months ago.

The PUC process and formula appears to be antiquated. It published data for the public and I, to learn from, and then quietly removed that data without notice and refused to respond to my questions about that easy/awkward PUC proclivity. I wanted, intended and expected my communications to be fully before the Commissioners *before* they made a final decision to cancel my complaint.

Important matters were put to the PUC before their final decision yet it is evident (?) that the Commissioners did not see (?) that which may well have interested them and had influence on their decisions. The PUC Secretary and the lead AG Deputy (and others) do not comment or justify why and how missing information IS 'constructive'...for whom and exactly what.

Deputy Brooks affords me the opportunity (in his 4/7/22 advisory) that I may duplicate information already given to the PUC (on 2/15 and 3/4) IN this... my Motion for

Reconsideration...IF I want the information to be read ('timely?') by the general public...as opposed to not letting the public know what IS going on. Keeping that information *from* the public and the Commissioners WAS desirable (?) because a 'misaligned' Code wants things done *its way*? regardless of good and common sense? I would like to learn if/how often do the AG Deputy's join the Commissioners to approach the Legislature about doing a better job with language and intent. I copied the Legislators of my District in my last correspondence to the PUC Secretary so that they would know there IS some unnecessary/duplication of records being advocated because the Code requires it!? That cannot be a good reason for unnecessary waste. Sometimes when people see a building on fire, they need to speak up...and not vacillate because their job description does not include firefighting.

Please recommend the Commissioners reopen the case, include all of our joint correspondence to/from the Commission, its staff and the half dozen +/- AG staff members assigned to the PUC who participated in this case under the rubric column 'Complainant Comments and related data.'

The PUC and AG deputies who read the history of my/this complaint will recall that I have internet, data recover/access and printing handicaps...and have had to resort to hard copy data to be hand carried to OUC offices and sent USPS by certified mail...so to be sure important information got where it was needed in order to be attended. IF the PUC will put data I provided to where it can be accessed and utilized timely...I will be grateful, again. Also, having information where it should have been and can be made use of with the least amount of disorganization...will be helpful in the event of need for a tort claim.

The joint effort of Counsel in Oregon and Idaho who may have 'mistakenly' taken 'evidence' (actually grandiose, nonsense and deliberate bungling) by Clink for the previous to bathe in and broadcast with enthusiasm... IS a mixture of shame and contempt that deserves a focus on their obstruction.

The 'trace' ruse is an item the AG/PUC allowed to be squandered while CenturyLink is a vulgar institution... proud of abuse and justified by wrong doing. The Respondent company in need of a more just reckoning.

I respectfully call for a reversal of the case closure, by the PUC, and ask that a more punitive posture be taken against Century Link by appropriate members of AG Wasden's office and others. The PUC activity and responsibility needs to be better addressed...and the PUC IS now, finally, after nearly five years of full and part time negligence, needs to call a spade a spade for reasons inside and accountable to its significant authority.

There is very much wrong with how big business, big money and big political influence has brought ridicule on Idaho state government...while the latter moves to distance itself (?) from honesty, integrity and bonafide efficiency...leaving myself and a thousand neighbors to despair and suffer (in my case) on a literal daily basis... due to bad actors who are continually and substantially supported and defended by Century Link.

The PUC's people have been tacitly ridiculed by CenturyLink operatives. That should not stand in its entirety, either...regardless of the 'but out' challenge CLink boldly tossed at the AG's deputies.

The PUC, its staff and the AG's deputies have yet a good deal to work with...for bringing justice to a noisy, proud and wayward operator.

Sincerely, Richard Keavy